UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,662	06/14/2005	Atsushi Ogawa	83363.0012	6654
²⁶⁰² 1 HOGAN & HA	7590 11/28/2007 RTSON L.L.P.	EXAMINER		
1999 AVENUE OF THE STARS			YABUT, DIANE D	
SUITE 1400 LOS ANGELES, CA 90067			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3734	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

* ,		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>
Office Action Summary				
		10/539,662	OGAWA ET AL.	
	,	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Diane Yabut	1	ress
Period fo				,
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DESIGNATION OF THE MAILING THE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this com BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 S	September 2007.		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.		
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowa	•		nerits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under b	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
,	•			
	ion Papers The energification is objected to by the Everning			
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) _ acc		by the Examiner	
.0/	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	•	
•	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•	₹ 1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTC)-152.
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)[a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in a prity documents have been nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National S	itage
			•	
Attachmer		_		
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) 10/539,662 Art Unit: 3734

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to applicant's amendment received on 13 September 2007.

The examiner acknowledges the amendments made to the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Ken** (U.S. Patent No. **6,013,084**) in view of **Bashiri** (U.S. Patent No. **6,468,266**) and **Teoh** (U.S. Pub. No. **20040002732**).

Claims 1 and 8: Ken discloses an indwelling implant for embolization comprising a coil 191 that may be composed of a metal and a substantially semi-spherical rounded head portion at the distal end portion of the coil, wherein a loop 199 is provided inside said coil from said head portion of the coil toward the proximal end portion of the coil, and an axial extension controlling member 193 composed of at least one wire material and it is provided inside said coil by extending the member in the coil axial direction of said coil and fixing both ends thereof directly or indirectly to the proximal end portion in the inside of the coil after the member passed through said loop, wherein the loop is directly coupled to the axial extension controlling member (Figure 10, col. 5, lines 10-23 and col. 9, lines 29-51). Ken discloses the claimed device except for the axial extension

10/539,662 Art Unit: 3734

controlling member being composed of at least one wire material which is thinner than the metal wire material forming said loop, the loop being a single closed loop that is directly fixed to the rounded head portion.

Bashiri teaches a coil **120** with an axial extension controlling member **134** composed of at least one wire material which is thinner than the metal wire material forming said loop **138** (Figure 3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide a thinner material for the axial extension controlling member than the material forming said loop, as taught by Bashiri, to Ken in order to ensure a secure engagement with the loop and to prevent the coil form stretching when the coil is being repositioned (col. 5, line 58 to col. 6, line 18).

Teoh teaches a vaso-occlusive coil with <u>a single closed loop 108 that is directly</u> fixed to a rounded head portion 107. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide a single closed loop directly fixed to a rounded portion, as taught by Teoh, to Ken since a closed loop configuration is identified as a coupling mechanism to other portions of the vaso-occlusive device (page 5, paragraph 52), which is well known in the art for secure linking or attachment of segments along a device.

Claims 2-3: Ken discloses the axial extension controlling member and loop being composed of the same metal material as the coil, such as platinum or a platinum alloy (col. 5, lines 10-23).

10/539,662

Art Unit: 3734

Claim 4: Ken discloses the axial extension controlling member being composed of a wire material with a diameter of 20μm or less (col. 5, lines 57-67, col. 6, lines 1-14 and col. 7, lines 1-7).

<u>Claim 6</u>: Ken, Bashiri, and Wilson disclose the claimed device except for the axial extension controlling member being further twisted after insertion through the loop.

Teoh teaches an axial extension controlling member being further twisted after insertion through the loop in order to keep the wire from collapsing on itself (Figures 2C-2D and page 6, paragraphs 55-56). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide the axial extension controlling member being further twisted, as taught by Teoh, to Ken, Bashiri, and Wilson in order to keep the wire from collapsing on itself, and therefore to maintain its function.

<u>Claim 7</u>: Ken discloses the coil being further formed to have a secondary shape (col. 9, lines 29-51).

3. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Ken** (U.S. Patent No. **6,013,084**), **Bashiri** (U.S. Patent No. **6,468,266**), and **Teoh** (U.S. Pub. No. **20040002732**), as applied to Claim 4 above, and further in view of **Wilson** (U.S. Pub. No. **20040034363**).

<u>Claim 5</u>: Ken, Bashiri, and Teoh disclose the claimed device except for the axial extension controlling member being composed of a twisted wire obtained by twisting together a plurality of metal wire materials.

Art Unit: 3734

Wilson teaches an axial extension controlling member being composed of a twisted wire obtained by twisting together a plurality of metal wire materials (page 4, paragraph 30). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide the axial extension controlling member being composed of a twisted wire, as taught by Wilson, to Ken, Bashiri, and Teoh since it was known in the art that braided or winded wires provide strength and resistance to breakage or fracture.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

- Application/Control Number:

10/539,662

Art Unit: 3734

Page 6

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Diane Yabut whose telephone number is (571) 272-6831. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 9AM-4PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hayes can be reached on (571) 272-4959. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DY

MICHAEL J. HAYES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER